



MINUTES OF 13th MEETING OF THE REVIEW COMMITTEE TO REVIEW THE PRIVATE FOREST AREAS IDENTIFIED BY STATE LEVEL EXPERT COMMITTEE (THOMAS AND ARAUJO COMMITTEE) ON PRIVATE FOREST HELD ON 29.12.2021

A meeting of the Review Committee was held on 29/12/2021 at 3.30 pm in the Conference hall of the Forest Department, Goa Van Bhavan, Altinho, Panaji under the Chairmanship of Chief Conservator of Forests.

Following members attended the meeting:

1	Mr. Vithal A Joshi, Asst. Director of Agriculture Representative of Director of Agriculture, Panaji Goa.	Member
2	Mr. Vishwas Chodankar, Asst. Conservator of Forests Headquarters, North Goa Division.	Member
3	Mr. Minguel Fernandes, Asst. Conservator of Forests, Headquarter, South Goa Division.	Member
4	Mr. Yeshwant Bicholkar, Representative of Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji Goa.	Member
5	Mr. Dilesh A. Gauns Desai-Chief Forest Surveyor Working Plan Division, Panaji	Spl Invitee
6	Mr. Anisha Kalkoor M., IFS Dy. Conservator of Forests, Working Plan Division, Panaji.	Member Secretary

At the outset the Member Secretary welcomed all the members of the Committee and informed about the action taken on decision of the previous meeting of the Review Committee held on 17/08/2021. Member Secretary informed that the Review Committee submitted its 1st Interim Report vide note dated 26/04/2021 disposing of 858 Survey Nos, 2nd Interim Report vide note dated 01/07/2021 disposing of an additional 378 Survey Nos and 3rd Interim Report vide note dated 08/09/2021 disposing of an additional 70 Survey Nos provisionally identified by North Goa and South Goa Forest Division committees (also known as Thomas and Araujo Committees) to the Government of Goa on 12.09.2021 has approved all the three interim reports submitted by the Review Committee thereby disposing of a total 1306 survey numbers out of 3195 survey numbers provisionally identified by Thomas and Araujo Committees. All three interim reports have been uploaded on the website of Goa Forest department on 13.09.2021.

 
ACF (N) ACP (S)

AC (N)


AC (S)

DIR (DSL)

DIR (AGRI)

DCF (WP)

CCF

Thereafter agenda-wise discussion was held. Following were discussed /decided

Agenda item no 13.1 Review of progress of ongoing field verification & survey of Private Forest.


As per the status update received by Dy. Conservator of Forests (North) and Dy. Conservator of Forests (South), the progress in field verification & survey of Private Forest as on 29.12.2021 is given below:

Particulars	North Goa	South Goa	Total
Area enumerated (in Ha)	1840.00	4414.00	6254.00
Area qualified(in Ha)*	1023.34	1232.00	2255.34
Area for which plan prepared (in Ha)	442.30	393.97	838.03

*Final status to be determined after preparation of all maps, hearing of the objectors/representations and total enumeration, if required.

13.1.1 ACF (HQ) of both the territorial divisions informed that presently field exercise is going on but expressed the following difficulties faced in the field for survey works during the extended Monsoon period which affected the progress of work. Due to the late withdrawal of monsoon and extended rainfall even in the months of November, 2021, field survey and demarcation works were severely affected slowing down review work. Due to the rains, field survey and enumeration works had ceased till Mid November, 2021. Even on rain free days, it was difficult to approach the sites due to undergrowth and there were chances of instruments getting damaged if the rains suddenly came. However, they informed the committee that the work of preparation of enumeration reports and plan preparation works were going on, which are being submitted by sub-committees through concerned DCF. They informed that presently they are taking all out efforts to complete the field exercise at the earliest.

- DCF (North/South) were directed to submit the reports of field verification and the plans prepared by the sub committees immediately for further action on the matter.
- Further, the preparation of maps for the areas which are qualifying after field verification shall be completed immediately and submitted for further action on the matter.
- The manpower should be suitably rotated so that the sub-committees having more area for field enumeration are having sufficient manpower to undertake the exercise.
- 19 No. Forest Guards deputed from other Divisions should be effectively utilized.
- In cases where the review committee has decided for 100% enumeration, it should also be started.


ACF (N) ACF(S) AC (N) AC (S) DIR (DSLRL) DIR (AGRI) DCF (WP) CCF

- f. It should be ensured that objectors are informed of field exercise being undertaken and their presence must be recorded by their signature or photographs.
- g. In certain cases where Court directions have been received must be expedited.

(Action: DCF (North)/DCF (South)/RFOs and MS of Sub-committees)

13.1.2 The Member Secretary pointed out that a large number of reports are still found incomplete during scrutiny w.r.to signature of sub-committee members and it has been sent back to DCFs/ Sub-committees for necessary action. Further, there are other errors e.g. all sample points not enumerated, mismatch between area qualifying and plan prepared, because of which reports had to be sent back.

As this is leading to delay in review exercise, DCF (North/South) are once again directed to ensure that all the field reports are properly prepared and authenticated by members of the committee before sending it to the DCF (Working Plan).








(Action: DCF (North)/DCF (South))

13.1.3 The Chief Forest Surveyor informed that after detailed analysis of 154 provisional Survey Nos. identified by the Thomas and Araujo committee which were excluded in the final report, around 96 survey numbers found not qualifying the area and canopy density criteria. The balance 58 survey numbers (except those which are already obtained finally after acceptance of the report of the previous review committee under DCF (WP) by NGT) which are qualifying the area and canopy density criteria will have to be sent for field verification for verifying the species composition. The committee agreed to the proposal and directed the Member Secretary to send the survey numbers for field verification following all formalities. **(Action: DCF (Working Plan), Chief Forest Surveyor)**

Agenda item no 13.2: Review of area qualified as per field verification and after hearing of affected persons.

The Review committee discussed the decisions taken in the public hearings called vide notice no. MS/REV-PF/DCF/WP/2019-20/531 dated 24/09/2021 conducted on 30.09.2021 and decided the following:

Sr.No	Village / Taluka	Survey Number	Area	Decision of the Review Committee
-------	------------------	---------------	------	----------------------------------

 AC (N)
  AC (S)
 AC (N)
  AC (S)
  DIR (DSL)
  DIR (AGRI)
  DCF (WP)
  CCF


1	Curpem Village of Sanguem Taluka	62(P)	26.89 Ha	Since there were no objection for Survey Number 62(P) of Village Curpem of Sanguem Taluka, the Review Committee finalized that area of 26.89 Ha as per plan prepared for the qualified area as Private Forest.
---	----------------------------------	-------	----------	--

The Review committee discussed the decisions taken in the public hearing called vide notice no. MS/REV-PF/DCF/WP/2021-22/659 dated 22/10/2021 conducted on 29.10.2021 and decided the following:

Sr No	Village / Taluka	Survey Number	Area	Decision of the Review Committee
1	Quisconda Village of Quepem Taluka	14(P)	45.89 Ha	Area of 45.89 Ha is qualified as Private Forest in Survey Number 14 (P) of Quisconda Village of Quepem Taluka.
2	Uguem Village of Sanguem Taluka	59(P)	15.97 Ha	Area of 15.97 Ha is qualified as Private Forest in Survey Number 59(P) of Uguem Village of Sanguem Taluka.
3	Uguem Village of Sanguem Taluka	63(P)	22.08 Ha	Area of 22.08 Ha is qualified as Private Forest in Survey Number 63(P) of Uguem Village of Sanguem Taluka.
4	Uguem Village of Sanguem Taluka	81 (P)	16.57 Ha	Area of 16.57 Ha is qualified as Private Forest in Survey Number 81(P) of Uguem Village of Sanguem Taluka.
5	Curpem Village of Sanguem Taluka	48(P)	5.27 Ha	Area of 5.27 Ha is qualified as Private Forest in Survey Number 48(P) of Curpem Village of Sanguem Taluka.
6	Curpem Village of Sanguem Taluka	26(P)	10.51 Ha	Area of 10.51 Ha is qualified as Private Forest in Survey Number 26(P) of Curpem Village of Sanguem Taluka.

The Review committee discussed the decisions taken in the public hearing called vide notice no. MS/REV-PF/DCF/WP/2021-22/777 dated 22/11/2021 conducted on 29.11.2021 and decided the following:

Sr. No	Village/ Taluka	Survey Number	Area	Decision of the Review Committee
1	Cortalim Village of Mormugao	230(P) 231(P) 232(P)	2.789 Ha 3.089 Ha	As objections raised by owner/ representatives, it was decided that the concerned sub-











 ACF (N) ACF (S) AC (N) AC (S) DIR (DSL)R DIR (AGRI) DCF (WP) CCF

	Taluka	233(P) 234(P)	1.286 Ha 1.694 Ha	committee will re-visit survey number 230(P), 231(P), 232(P), 233(P) & 234(P) of Cortalim Village of Mormugao Taluka.
2	Santona Village of Sanguem Taluka	34(P) 35(P)	5.15 Ha 11.82 Ha	As objections raised by owner/representatives, it was decided that the concerned sub-committee will re-visit survey number 34(P) & 35(P) of Santona Village of Sanguem Taluka.
3	Antoriem Village of Sanguem Taluka	23(P) 24(P)	9.63 Ha 4.23 Ha	As objections raised by owner/representatives, it was decided that the concerned sub-committee will re-visit survey number 23(P), & 24(P) of Antoriem Village of Sanguem Taluka.
		22 (P)	1.9 Ha	No objection received for this survey number.
4	Rumbrem Village of Sanguem Taluka	25(P) 27(P) 28(P)	6.26 Ha 1.87 Ha 1.46 Ha	As objections raised by owner/representatives, it was decided that the concerned sub-committee will re-visit survey number 25(P), 27(P) & 28(P) of Rumbrem Village of Sanguem Taluka.

The Review committee discussed the decisions taken in the public hearing called vide notice no. MS/REV-PF/DCF/WP/2021-22/946 dated 22/12/2021 conducted on 29.12.2021 and decided the following:

Sr. No	Village / Taluka	Survey Number	Area	Decision of the Review Committee
1	Naiquiem Village of Sanguem Taluka	6(P)	19.56 Ha	As objections raised by owner/representatives, it was decided that the concerned sub-committee will re-visit survey number 6 (P) of Naiquiem Village of Sanguem Taluka.
2	Potrem Village of Sanguem Taluka	27 (P) 28(P)	5.88 Ha 2.89 Ha	Objector not present for the hearing, Review Committee decided to call her during next date of hearing.

Agenda item no 13.3: Review of non-qualifying areas, remaining portion of repeated and adjoining survey numbers, etc. and survey numbers

 ACF (N)
  ACF (S)
  AC (N)
  AC (S)
  DIR (DSL)
  DIR (AGRI)
  DCF (WP)
  CCF

which were provisionally identified and later excluded by Thomas and Araujo Committee.

I. Review of survey numbers found non-qualifying areas after field verification

The field reports submitted by Sub-Committees after ground verification were placed before the Review Committee and after detailed analysis based on LISS IV FCM 2014-15 (FSI), analysis through Google Timeline change corroborated by LISS III FCM 2012-13, Division offence case, Tree Felling, FCA & Court order etc if any, the review Committee found additional Survey Numbers which are not qualified for all three criteria after evaluation, deliberations and discussion on each reports, the decision of the committee on 49 Survey Numbers is enclosed herewith **(Annexure-I)**.


II. Survey numbers which were provisionally identified and later excluded by Thomas and Araujo Committee in its report.

As decided in the 10th meeting of the review committee, the committee has taken up review of all such survey numbers which were excluded from the list of provisionally identified survey numbers of private forest as per the methodology adopted by the committee. There were a total 154 provisional Survey Nos. identified by the Thomas and Araujo committee which were excluded in the final report. All those survey numbers were digitized and analyzed in detail.

After detailed analysis, the review committee found that 96 survey numbers are not meeting area & Canopy density criteria as per LISS IV Forest Cover Map 2014-15 (Forest Survey India) and based on the analysis of LISS IV Forest Cover Map 2014-15 (Forest Survey India, Google Timeline changes corroborated by LISS III Forest Cover Map 2012-13, North & South Goa Divisional history for offence cases, illegal felling, Tree Felling permissions, FCA & Court orders (if any) etc. and after detailed discussion and deliberations the committee decided that the 96 survey numbers are not qualifying the criteria to be identified as Private Forests. **(Annexure-II)**. The review of balance 58 survey numbers (except those which are already obtained finally after acceptance of the report of the previous review committee under DCF (WP) by NGT) which are qualifying the area and canopy density criteria will be taken up after field verification for assessing the species composition criteria

III. Ratification of the findings of the review committee in its meeting held on 29.10.2021 to review land area surveyed under survey number 52 of Penha de Franca of Bardez Taluka pertaining to Government Project (GTDC).

The committee ratified the decision taken by it in its meeting held on 29.10.2021 to review of land area surveyed under survey number 52 of Penha de Franca of Bardez Taluka pertaining to Government Project


ACF (N) ACF(S) AC (N) AC (S) DIR (DSLRL) DIR (AGRI) DCF (WP) CCF

(GTDC) and confirmed that that the balance area in the Survey Number 52 of village Penha de Franca of Bardez taluka (beyond the area demarcated as Private Forest and indicated in the report of review committee headed by DCF (WP)) was found to be not qualifying the criteria of private forest.


Agenda item 13.4: Any other matter with the permission of Chair

13.4.1 In the 12th meeting the Review Committee had decided to put additional safeguards and monitoring mechanisms regarding enumeration done by sub-committees under direct supervision of concerned DCF, which is determining the status of Private Forest of a Survey number. The Range Forest Officer/Member Secretary of the Sub-Committee were directed to visit all the sites and verify 100% sample points on the ground and the Deputy Conservator of Forests of both the divisions were directed to conduct regular and random test checks of at least 5 per cent for verification of the reports submitted by the subcommittees. It is once again reiterated that the Deputy Conservator of Forests of both the divisions shall properly verify and authenticate the report prepared by the sub committees by countersigning it. The Deputy Conservator of Forests of both the divisions shall be completely responsible for the correctness and completeness of the report submitted by the sub-committees through them.

The Range Forest Officer/Member Secretary of the Sub-Committee are once again informed that Geo-reference photograph of the trees on sampling points shall mandatorily be taken along with representatives/objectors/owners of the property as the case may be and submitted in a CD along with the enumeration report. The CD must be signed by the RFO and the DCF.

(Action: DCF (North)/ (South), RFOs and MS of Sub-committees)

13.4.2 In the 12th review committee meeting, while observing that considering the importance of enumeration exercise, closer involvement of a DCF level officer is necessary and that at present DCF (North) and DCF (South) are supervising enumeration by sub-committees, it was decided that a proposal may be submitted to the Government to make DCF (North)/ (South) as common Chairman of the sub-committees under their jurisdiction for their closer involvement. However, after internal deliberations it was decided that for the time being, the present arrangement of enumeration exercise being done by sub-committees under direct supervision of concerned DCF shall continue and the Deputy Conservator of Forests of concerned divisions shall device internal mechanism for monitoring the field enumeration work by the sub-committees. However, Deputy Conservator of Forests of both the divisions shall properly verify, test check and authenticate the report prepared by the sub committees by countersigning it. The Deputy Conservator of Forests of both the divisions


ACF (N) ACF(S) AC (N) AC (S) DIR (DSL) DIR (AGRI) DCF (WP) CCF

shall be completely responsible for the correctness and completeness of the report submitted by the sub-committees through them. After observing this arrangement for some time, further action on the decision of the 12th review committee meeting will be taken.

(Action: DCF (North)/ (South), RFOs and MS of Sub-committees)

13.4.3 It was decided to submit proposal to the Government to engage M/S Theovel Surveys, which has been outsourced survey and demarcation work related to FRA cases by Directorate of Survey and land Records (DSLRL) on same terms and conditions and which has agreed to undertake the work of survey and demarcation of Private Forest areas also on same terms and conditions.

It was also decided to keep Surveyors provided by DSLRL for coordination with Revenue Deptt, for sample survey and for continuity including exigencies in case Private agency withdraws.

(Action: DCF (Working Plan))

13.4.4 Further, the Hon'ble NGT in EA 21/2021(PB) in OA 478/2018 filed by Goa Foundation in its order dated 30.11.2021 has ordered to file additional compliance report by 27.01.2022 along with the progress achieved by the review committee till date. Therefore, it was decided by the committee to submit the 4th Interim Report to the Government to put on record the progress achieved by the review committee as on date in reviewing the areas provisionally identified by Thomas and Araujo committees.

(Action: DCF (Working Plan))

Based on the analysis, the status report on the progress achieved in review of private forest areas as on date is shown as under:

Division	Status of review after completion of field enumeration		
	Total area enumerated*	Area qualified in field survey*	Plan completed
North	1840.00 ha	1023.34 ha	442.30 ha
South	4414.00 ha	1232.00 ha	393.97 ha
Total	6254.00 ha	2255.34 ha	838.03 ha

*Final status to be determined after hearing of the objectors/representations and total enumeration, if decided

CUMULATIVE PROGRESS AS ON DATE


ACF (N)


ACF (S)


AC (N)


AC (S)

DIR (DSLRL)

DIR (AGRI)



DCF (WP)


CCF

Cumulative progress achieved in survey and demarcation of Private Forest Areas as on 29.12.2021 is given below:

	Total number of Sy. Nos finalized by review committee	Qualifying Sy. Nos	Non qualifyin g Sy. Nos	Repeated/ Adjoining Sy Nos & Govt. Forests
1 st Interim Report	858	10	750	98
2 nd Interim Report	1236	15	1101	120
3 rd Interim Report	1306	24	1162	120
At present as on 29.12.2021	1459	31	1307	121

Meeting ended with the vote of thanks to the chair.



(Anisha Kalkoor M., IFS)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Working Plan Division &
Member Secretary
Panaji-Goa.

No: MS/REV-PF/DCF/WP/2021-22/1001

Dated 31/12/2021

To,


1. The Chief Conservator of Forests, Goa Van Bhavan, Panaji, Goa.
2. The Director Settlement & Land Records Panaji, Goa.
3. The Director of Agriculture Panaji, Goa.
4. The Dy Conservator of Forests Head Quarter Goa Van Bhavan, Panaji, Goa.
5. DCF (North)/DCF (South)
6. The Additional Collector North, Revenue Department, Margao, South.
7. The Additional Collector South, Revenue Department, Panaji, North.
8. The Asst. conservator of Forests Headquarter (North), Ponda, Goa.
9. The Asst. conservator of Forests Headquarter (South), Margao, Goa.
10. Office copy.


ACF (N)


ACF (S)


AC (N)


AC (S)


DIR (DSL)


DIR (AGRI)


DCF (WP)


CCF

Evaluation of Survey Number identified by V.T.Thomas and F.X.Araujo Committee but the same were partly reviewed by earlier Review Committee (status as per committee headed by DCF (working Plan) Deepshikha Sharma Committee included report of which has been accepted by Hon'ble NGT in O.A.No.479/18

Sr. No.	Taluka	village	Areas as per form I & XIV(in Ha)	Sy.No Identified by Sawant Committee	Sy.No Identified by Karapurkar Committee	Sy.No Identified by V.T.Thomas Committee	Sy.No Identified by F.X.Araujo Committee	Sy.No reviewed and finalised by Dy.Conser vator of Forests (wp) review Committee headed by Deepshikh a Sharma	Status as on date after Review by deputy Coservator of Forests (WP) Review Committee headed by Deepshikha sharma /Balance area to be reviewed	Decision of Review Committee after analysis based on LISS IV FCM 2014-15 (FSI), Google Timeline change corroborated by LISS III FCM 2012-13,Division offence case,Tree felling,FCA & Court order etc.,if any)
1	BARDEZ	Penha De Franca	6.14	52(P)	-	52	-	52P	The demarcated area is more than the area identified by the committee hence not reviewed	The balance area does not qualify the criteria of Private Forest
2	Canacona	Loliem	116.27	328/2	-	-	328P	328/2	The area is already reviewed by deputy conservator of forests (WP) review committee headed by Deepshikha sharma and recommended a patch as private forest.	No additional area to be reviewed in survey number 328/2 and 328/3 of Loliem village of Canacona Taluka.
			83.43	328/3	-	-		328/3		

ACF (N)

ACF(S)

AC(N)

DIR(DSE)

DIR(DSLR)

DIR(AGRI)

DIR(AGRI)

DCF(WP)

CCF

Detailed findings of the Review Committee after field verification for the areas fulfilling 40% canopy density & 5 Ha area as per forest cover map of Forest Survey of India.

Sr.No	Taluka	Village	Survey number identified by the North Goa & South Goa SLEC	Survey number fulfilling 40% canopy density & 5Ha area as per forest cover map 2014-15 LISS IV of Forest Survey of India	Map Sheet Number	Area of patch as per LISS IV satellite image ha (Approx)	Canopy Density > 40%	Patch more than 5 Ha	Whether Contiguous to Govt. Forests / Earlier Identified forest	EXTENT OF AREA QUALIFYING PRIVATE FOREST (HA)	Decision of Review Committee after analysis based on LISS IV FCM 2014-15 (FSI), Google Timeline change corroborated by LISS III FCM 2012-13, public hearing, review of objection, Division office case, Tree Felling, FCA & Court order etc., if any)
1	Bardez	Calangute	28	Yes	22	1.93	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
2			43	Yes	22	1.12	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
3			44	Yes	22	2.61	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
4			45	Yes	22	0.19	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
5			46	Yes	22	0.87	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
6			47	Yes	22	0.85	Yes	No	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
7	Bardez	Assagao	101	Yes	79	12.03	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
8			74	Yes	79	0.092	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
9			130	Yes	79	8.43	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
10			132	Yes	79	5.93	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
11			133	Yes	79	0.083	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
12	Ponda	Panchawadi	104	Yes	60	5.64	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
13			125	Yes	60	4.36	Yes	Yes	Yes	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
14			128	Yes	60	13.26	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest

ACF(N)

ACF(S)

AC(N)

AC(S)

DIR(DSLR)

DIR(AGRI)

DCF(WP)

CCF

15			141	Yes	60	0.82	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
16	Borim		115	Yes	52	1.76	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
17	Ponda	Nirancal	10	Yes	61	4.88	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
18			12	Yes	61	2.8	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
19			15	Yes	61	6.62	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
20			17	Yes	61	0.91	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
21			19	Yes	61	5.06	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
22			21	Yes	61	1.95	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
23			123	Yes	61	0.15	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
24			129	Yes	61	3.17	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
25			186	Yes	61	4.35	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
26	Bardez	Pomburpa	72	Yes	75	5.16	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
27	Bardez	Socorro	269	Yes	75	0.3	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
28	Bardez	Pilerne	78	Yes	89	4.7	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
29			83	Yes	89	2.1	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
30			84	Yes	89	0.04	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
31	Sanguem	Curpem	62 (P)	Yes	175	21.32	Yes	Yes	Yes	26.89	Part area is identified as Private Forest
32			26 (P)	Yes	175	14.57	Yes	Yes	Yes	10.51	Part area is identified as Private Forest
33			48 (P)	Yes	175	4.93	Yes	Yes	Yes	5.27	Part area is identified as Private Forest
34	Sanguem	Netravali	78 (P)	Yes	147	9.81	Yes	Yes	Yes	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
35	Sanguem	Uguem	59 (P)	Yes	167	15.96	Yes	Yes	Yes	15.97	Part area is identified as Private Forest

ACF(N)

ACF(S)

AC(N)

AC(S)

DIR(DSLR)

DIR(AGRI)

DCF(WP)

CCF

36		63 (P)	Yes		167	37.99		Yes	Yes	Yes	22.08	Part area is identified as Private Forest
			Yes	No		Yes	No					Part area is identified as Private Forest
37		81 (P)	Yes	No	167	15.78	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	16.57	Part area is identified as Private Forest
38	Sanguem	11 (P)	Yes	No	25	27.23	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	0	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
39	Quepem	14 (P)	Yes	No	104	47.9	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	45.89	Part area is identified as Private Forest
40	Canacona	59(P)	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
41		64(P)	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
42		65(P)	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
43		110(P)	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
44		66(P)	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
45		70(P)	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
46		67(P)	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
47	Salcete	9	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
48		262	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
49		175	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
50		203	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
51	Marmugao	250	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
52		259	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
53		248/1	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
54		248/2	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
55		248/6	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
56		240	No	No	-	-	-	-	-	No	-	The area does not qualify the criteria of private forest
					295.93						143.18	

ACF(N)

ACF(S)

AC(N)

AC(S)

DIR(DSLR)

DIR(AGRI)

DCF(WP)

CCF

List of survey number not qualifying private forest criteria reviewed by the Review Committee meeting held on 29/12/2021


Sr. No	Taluka	Village	Survey number identified by the Nothh Goa and South Goa SLEC	Survey number fulfilling 40% canopy density & 5Ha area as per forest cover map 2014-15 LISS IV of Forest Survey of India	Decision of Review Committee after analysis based on LISS IV FCM 2014-15 (FSI), Google Timeline change corroborated by LISS III FCM 2012-13, Division offence case, Tree Felling, FCA & Court order etc., if any)
1	Tiswadi	Murda	26	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
2			34	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
3			39	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
4			40	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
5			41	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
6			42	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
7			43	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
8			44	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
9	Tiswadi	Cujira	38	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
10			39	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
11			40	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
12			41	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
13			42	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
14			44	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
15	Tiswadi	Gancim	7	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
16			33	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
17			36	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
18			37	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
19			38	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
20	Tiswadi	Gaulim Maula	35	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
21			36	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
22			38	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
23	Tiswadi	Azzocim	5	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
24			6	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
25			61	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
26			63	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
27	Tiswadi	Mandur	57	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
28			59	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
29			60	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
30	Tiswadi	Carambolim	263	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
31			280	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
32			281	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
33			282	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
34			283	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
35			284	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest


ACF(N)


ACF(S)

AC(N)


DIR(DSLR)


DIR(DSLR)


DIR(AGRI)


DCF(WP)


CCF


36			285	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
37			286	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
38			287	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
39			288	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
40			290	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
41			292	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
42			294	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
43	Tiswadi	Curca	48	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
44			49	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
45	Bardez	Salvador do Mundo	64(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
46			65(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
47			69(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
48			73(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
49			78(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
50			85(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
51			86(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
52			116(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
53			118(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
54			119(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
55			159(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
56			160(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
57			162(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
58			163(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
59			164(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
60			252(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
61			315(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
62			321(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
63			325(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
64			326(F)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
65			327(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
66			331(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
67			333(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
68			341(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
69			343(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
70			344(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
71			358(F)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
72			359(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
73			365(p)	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
74	Bardez	Socorro	2	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
75			3	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
76			4	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
77			223	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
78			265	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
79	Bardez	Penha de Franca	133	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
80			184	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
81			181	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
82	Bardez	Calangute	32/7	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
83			88/3	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
84	Bardez	Assagao	128/14	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest


ACF(N)


ACF(S)

AC(N)


DIR(DSLR)



DIR(DSLR)


DIR(AGRI)


DCF(WP)


CCF

85	Pernem	Casarvornem	10	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
86			269	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
87	Mormugao	Dabolim	10	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
88			11	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
89			12	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
90	Mormugao	Cortalim	14	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
91			16	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
92			105	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
93			121	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
94			122	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
95	Mormugao	Sancoale	67	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest
96			71	NON QUALIFY	Survey number doest not qualify the criteria for private forest


ACF(N)


ACF(S)

AC(N)


DIR(DSLR)


DIR(DSLR)


DIR(AGRI)


DCF(WP)

CCF

