

MINUTES OF 15th MEETING OF THE REVIEW COMMITTEE TO REVIEW THE PRIVATE FOREST AREAS IDENTIFIED BY STATE LEVEL EXPERT COMMITTEE (THOMAS AND ARAUJO COMMITTEE) ON PRIVATE FOREST HELD ON 10.03.2023.

A meeting of the Review Committee was held on 10/03/2023 at 3.30 pm in the Conference Hall of the Forest Department, Goa Van Bhavan, Altinho Panaji under the Chairmanship of Chief Conservator of Forests.

Following members attended the meeting:

| | | |
|---|---|------------------|
| 1 | Mr. Shrinet Kotvalkar, Additional Collector, (Revenue), South Goa District | Member |
| 2 | Mr. Sandeep Fal Dessai, Representative of Directorate of Agriculture. | Member |
| 3 | Kum. Vrushika Kauthankar, Representative of Additional Collector, North Goa District | Member |
| 4 | Mr. Dhyaneshwar Kudalkar, Asst. Conservator of Forests, Headquarter, South Goa Division. | Member |
| 5 | Mrs. Pratima Kavlekar, Representative of Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji Goa. | Member |
| 6 | Shri. Dilesh A. Gauns Desai-Chief Forest Surveyor Working Plan Division, Panaji | Spl Invitee |
| 7 | Mr. Naveen Kumar P., IFS Dy. Conservator of Forests, Working Plan Division, Panaji. | Member Secretary |

At the outset the Member Secretary welcomed all the members of the Committee and informed about the action taken on decision of the previous meeting of the Review Committee held on 19.04.2022. Subsequently fifth interim report was uploaded on the Forest Department website with approval of State Government on 29.04.2022 as per the direction of Hon'ble NGT.

Member Secretary informed the members that till now the review committee has prepared five interim (*part final*) reports disposing 1630 survey numbers out of total 3349 (3195 survey numbers provisionally identified by Thomas and Araujo Committee plus 154 Survey number provisionally identified and excluded by Thomas and Araujo Committee) provisionally



 ACP (N) ACP(S) AC (N) AC (S) DIR (ISLR) DIR (AGRI) DCF (WP) CCF

29/c
identified by North Goa and South Goa Forest Division committee (also known as Thomas and Araujo Committee) and all the five interim reports have been uploaded on the website of Goa Forest Department.

Member Secretary also informed that Hon'ble NGT in its order dated 27/01/2022 directed the state to submit compliance report by 30/04/2021, Accordingly, all five reports were filed before Hon'ble NGT on 29/04/2022.

Thereafter agenda-wise discussion was held and following were decided;

15.1 Review of progress of ongoing field verification & survey of Private Forest.

As per the status update received from the Dy. Conservator of Forests (North) and Dy. Conservator of Forests (South), out of an area around 9183 Ha corresponding to 3195 sy Nos which qualify canopy and area criteria, the ground verification, enumeration & survey of area approximately 8755.26 hectares have been completed as on 10/03/2023. The progress in field verification and survey of Private Forest as on 10.03.2023 is given below:

| Particulars | North Goa | South Goa | Total |
|---|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Area enumerated (in Ha) | 1848.99 ha * | 6906.27 ha * | 8755.26 ** |
| Area qualified (in Ha) | 719.49 ha* | 1843.97 ha* | 2563.46 ** |
| Area for which Plan is prepared (in Ha) | 593.89 ha* | 503.73 ha * | 1097.62 ** |

*Final status to be determined after hearing of the objectors/representations and total enumeration, if required.

**Include 419.24 Ha area which were demarcated by the State Level demarcation team and will be excluded in calculation of final additional area qualified as Private Forests.

ACF (HQ) of South territorial divisions informed that presently enumeration through sampling is completed in the field and the work of preparation of enumeration reports and plan preparation are going on, accordingly chairman directed to complete the field exercise and to submit the report of subcommittee at the earliest.

(Action: DCF (North)/DCF (South)/RFOs and MS of Sub-committees)

 ACF (N)
 ACF (S)
 AC (N)

 ACF (S)
 DIR (DSL)
 DIR (AGRI)

 DCF (NP)
 CCF

15.1.2 The Member Secretary pointed out that many plans are yet to be prepared for the area which are qualifying after ground verification. Since hearing of objections can only be held after receipt of plans from the fields, all the sub-committees are directed to expedite the preparation of pending plans in time bound manner.

Further, DCF (North/South) are once again directed to ensure that all the field reports are properly prepared and authenticated by members of the committee before sending it to the DCF (Working Plan).

(Action: DCF (North)/DCF (south)/DSLRL)

15.2: Review of area qualified as per field verification and hearing of affected persons.

The Review committee held public hearing on 05/05/2022 called vide notice no. MS/REV-PF/DCF/WP/2022-23/96 dated 28/04/2022, deliberated and decided the following:

| Sr No | Village- Taluka | Sy. Nos. | Area in ha | Decision of the Review Committee |
|-------|-------------------------------------|----------|------------|--|
| 1. | Tudou Village Sanguem Taluka. | 2(p) | 36.35 | An area of 36.25 Ha is qualified as private forest in survey number 2(p) of Tudou Village Sanguem Taluka. |
| 2. | Coranguinim Village Sanguem Taluka. | 5(p) | 5.75 | An area of 5.75 Ha is qualified as private forest in survey number 5(p) of Coranguinim Village Sanguem Taluka. |
| 3. | Potrem Village Sanguem Taluka. | 36(p) | 4.39 | As objection was raised by objector in Survey No 36(p) Potrem Village Sanguem Taluka. Sub-Committee was directed to conduct 100% enumeration in his area in presence of land owners/ representative and submit the report at the earliest. |

 ACF (N)
  ACF (S)
  AC (N)
  AC (S)
  DIR (DSLRL)
  DIR (AGRI)
  DCF (WP)
  CCF

20/c

| | | | | |
|----|--|-----------------|--------|--|
| 4. | Salvador do Mundo Village Bardez Taluka. | 300(p) & 301(p) | 6.59 | <p>As objection was raised by objector to qualify the area as private forest based on sampling in Survey No 300(p) & 301(p) Salvador do Mundo Village Bardez Taluka.</p> <p>The Sub-Committee was directed to conduct 100% enumeration in his area in presence of land owners/ representative and submit the report at the earliest.</p> |
| 5. | Salvador do Mundo Village Bardez Taluka. | 313(p) | 1.1071 | <p>As objection was raised by objector to qualify the area as private forest based on sampling, in Survey No 313(p) Salvador do Mundo Village Bardez Taluka.</p> <p>The Sub-Committee was directed to conduct 100% enumeration in his area in presence of land owners/ representative and submit the report at the earliest.</p> |
| 6. | Salvador do Mundo Village Bardez Taluka. | 347 | 2.1242 | <p>As objection was raised by objector to qualify the area as private forest based on sampling, in Survey No 347 Salvador do Mundo Village Bardez Taluka.</p> <p>The Sub-Committee was directed to conduct 100% enumeration in his area in presence of land owners/ representative and submit the report at the earliest.</p> |
| 7. | Salvador do Mundo Village Bardez Taluka. | 338(p) | 1.8713 | <p>As objection was raised by objector to qualify the area as private forest based on sampling in Survey No 338(p) Salvador do Mundo Village Bardez Taluka.</p> <p>The Sub-Committee was directed to conduct 100% enumeration in</p> |

 ACF (N)
  ACF(S)
  AC (N)
  AC (S)
  DIR (DSL)
  DIR (AGRI)
  DCF (WP)
  CCF

| | | | | |
|----|--|-------|--------|---|
| | | | | his area in presence of land owners/ representative and submit the report at the earliest. |
| 8. | Pillem Village Dharbandora Taluka. | 11 | 12.52 | As objection was raised by objector to qualify the area as private forest based on sampling. in Survey No 11 of Pillem Village Dharbandora Taluka. The Sub-Committee was directed to conduct 100% enumeration near quarry mine in his area in presence of land owners/ representative and submit the report at the earliest. |
| 9. | Dhargal Village Pernem Taluka. | 362 | 7.8933 | As objection was raised by objector to qualify the area as private forest based on sampling. in Survey No 362 of Dhargal Village Pernem Taluka. The Sub-Committee was directed to conduct 100% enumeration in his area in presence of land owner / representative and to submit the report at the earliest. Further four more objector were not present for the hearing, but requested in writing to call them in next date of hearing, which is considered by the committee. |
| 10 | Santona Village Sanguem Taluka | 60(p) | 4.84 | Since there were no objections in survey number 60(p) of Santona Village Sanguem Taluka the committee finalized that area of 4.84 ha as per plan prepared for the area qualify as private forest. |
| 11 | Neturlim village Sanguem Taluka | 74(p) | 4.94 | Since there were no objections in survey number 74(p) of Neturlim village Sanguem Taluka the committee finalized that area of |

 ACF (N)
  ACF(S)
  AC (N)
  AC (S)
  DIR (DSL)
  DIR (AGRI)
  DCE (WF)
  CCF

20/c

| | | | | |
|----|---------------------------------|-------|-------|--|
| | | | | 4.94 ha as per plan prepared for the area qualify as private forest. |
| 12 | Bhati Village Sanguem Taluka | 36(p) | 10.05 | Since there were no objections in survey number 36(p) of Bhati Village Sanguem Taluka the committee finalized that area of 10.05 ha as per plan prepared for the area qualified as private forest. |

The Review committee held public hearing on 15/07/2022, called vide notice no. MS/REV-PF/DCF/WP/2022-23/329 dated 02/07/2022, deliberated and decided the following:

| Sr No | Village-Taluka | Sy. Nos. | Area in ha | Decision of the Review Committee |
|-------|--|----------|------------|--|
| 1 | Adcolna Village Ponda Taluka. | 56 | 25.11 | As objection was raised by objector to qualify the area as private forest based on sampling in survey number 56 of Adcolna Village Ponda Taluka. The Sub-Committee was directed to conduct 100% enumeration in his area in presence of land owners/ representative and submit the report at the earliest. |

The Review committee held public hearing on 02.03.2023 called vide notice no. MS/REV-PF/DCF/WP/202-23/1057 dated 16/02/2023, deliberated and decided the following:

| Sr No | Village-Taluka | Sy. Nos. | Area in ha | Decision of the Review Committee |
|-------|---|----------|------------|---|
| 1. | Salaulim Village Sanguem Taluka. | 37(p) | 14.45 | As objection was raised by objector, the Sub-Committee was directed to verify the area with additional points in survey number 37(p) of Salaulim Village Sanguem Taluka ad to submit report in a week time. |

 ACF (N)
  ACF(S)
  AC (N)
  AC (S)
  DIR (DSLRL)
  DIR (AGRI)
  DCF (WP)
  CCF

| | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|--|
| 2. | Cacora Village Quepem Taluka. | 170(p) | 7.25 | He has no objection for notifying Private Forest in Survey No 170(p) of Cacora Village Quepem Taluka. |
| 3. | Moissal Village Dharbandora Taluka. | 21(p) | 4.18 | As objection was raised by objector, the Sub-Committee was directed to take 4 to 5 additional point to verify the area once again in Survey No 21(p) of Moissal Village of Dharbandora Taluka and to submit the enumeration report along with new plan if any change in the area is noted during the enumeration or otherwise. |
| 4. | Quedem Village of Quepem Taluka | 9(p) | 24.309 | Since there were no objections in survey number 9(p) of Quedem Village of Quepem Taluka the committee finalized that area of 24.309 ha as per plan prepared by Subcommittee for the area qualified as private forest. |
| 5. | Sigao Village of Dharbandora Taluka | 21 | 7.02 | Since there were no objections in survey number 21 of Sigao Village of Dharbandora Taluka the committee finalized that area of 7.02 ha as per plan prepared by Subcommittee for the area qualified as private forest. |
| 6. | Sangod Village of Dharbandora Taluka | 10 | 2.84 | Since there were no objections in survey number 10 of Sangod Village of Dharbandora Taluka the committee finalized that area of 2.84 ha as per plan prepared by Subcommittee for the area qualified as private forest. |
| 7. | Salaulim Village Sanguem Taluka | 38(p) | 1.14 | Since there were no objections in survey number 38(p) Salaulim Village Sanguem Taluka the committee finalized that area of 1.14 ha as per plan prepared by Subcommittee for the area qualified as private forest. |

 ACF (N)
  ACF(S)
  AC (N)
  AC (S)
  DIR (DSLRL)
  DIR (AGRI)
  DCE (WT)
  CCF

18/c

The Review committee held public hearing for 8 objectors on 10.03.2023 called vide notice no. MS/REV-PF/DCF/WP/2022-23/1124 dated 27/02/2023 deliberated and decided the following:

| Sr. No | Village-Taluka | Sy. Nos. | Area | Decision of the Review Committee |
|--------|----------------------------------|----------|-----------|--|
| 1. | Loutulim Village Salcete Taluka | 44 | 1.659 Ha. | <p>2 objectors in Sy No. 44 requested to verify the area once again in survey number 44 of Loutulim Village Salcete Taluka in their presence.</p> <p>As the request is for verification, sub committee was directed to conduct inspection on 20th March 2023, further it was decided by the review committee that based on outcome of the scheduled verification, the area marked by the sub committee shall be either considered or treated otherwise.</p> <p>3 objectors have no objection for notifying private forest in survey number 44 of Loutulim Village Salcete Taluka.</p> |
| 2. | Loutulim Village Salcete Taluka. | 43 | 1.232 Ha. | <p>Two owners in Sy no. 43 objected for notifying their area as private forest, with no specific request for resurvey with additional points or for 100 per cent enumeration.</p> <p>Thus, in absence of any specific request/demand from the objectors for consideration, the review committee decided to consider and recommend 1.232 Ha area identified by subcommittee as Private Forest.</p> |
| 3. | Loutulim Village Salcete Taluka | 30 | 0.003 Ha. | <p>She has no objection in notifying 0.003 ha as private forest in survey number 30 of Loutulim Village Salcete Taluka.</p> |

List enclosed as **Annexure-I**

 ACF (N)
  ACF(S)
  AC (N)
  AC (S)
  DIR (DSL)
  DIR (AGRI)
  DCE (WP)
  CCF

15.3: Review of non-qualifying areas, remaining portion of repeated, adjoining survey numbers and Govt Forests, etc. and survey numbers which were provisionally identified and later excluded by Thomas and Araujo Committee.

15.3.1 Survey Numbers identified as Private Forest by earlier demarcation team of the Forest Department (adjoining the Survey Nos. identified as Private Forest by Sawant and Karapurkar Committee) and found partly repeated Thomas & Araujo Committee:

In the first interim report, the Review Committee had found that out of total of 39 Survey numbers, adjoining Survey Nos of Sawant and Karapurkar Committee which were demarcated as Private Forests by earlier demarcation team of Forest Department during 2003-2008 were found fully/partly repeated in Survey Numbers identified by Thomas and Araujo Committee. In 1st interim report, two survey numbers were found fully repeated. In 2nd interim report, the committee had reviewed the remaining 37 survey numbers and after detailed analysis through Google Timeline change corroborated by LISS III FCM 2012- 13, Division offence case, Tree Felling, FCA & Court order etc. found that in 17 Survey Numbers which are partly repeated, the balance area does not qualify the criteria for Private Forest and status reported in the second interim Report.

The committee had reviewed the remaining 20 survey numbers and after detailed analysis through Google Timeline change corroborated by LISS III FCM 2012- 13, Division offence case, Tree Felling, FCA & Court order etc. found that in 1 Survey Number, balance area qualify the criteria for Private Forest. Balance 19 survey numbers are still to be reviewed (**Annexure-II**).

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|
|  ACP (N) |  ACP(S) |  AC (N) |  AC (S) |  DIR (DSL) |  DIR (AGRI) |  DCF (WP) |  CCP |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|

16/c

15.3.2. Survey numbers which were provisionally identified and excluded by Thomas and Araujo Committee in its report

As decided in the 10th, 12th and 13th meeting of the review committee, the committee has taken up review of all such survey numbers which were excluded from the list of provisionally identified survey numbers of private forest as per the methodology adopted by the committee. There were a total 150 with sub division (154) provisional Survey Nos. identified by the Thomas and Araujo committee which were excluded in the final report. All those survey numbers were digitized and analyzed in detail.

In the fourth interim report, the review committee reported that 96 survey numbers are not qualifying the criteria to be identified as Private Forests as they were not meeting area & Canopy density criteria as per LISS IV Forest Cover Map 2014-15 (Forest Survey India) and based on the analysis of LISS IV Forest Cover Map 2014-15 (Forest Survey India, Google Timeline changes corroborated by LISS III Forest Cover Map 2012-13, North & South Goa Divisional history for offence cases, illegal felling, Tree Felling permissions, FCA & Court orders (if any) etc.

Regarding the review of balance 54 survey numbers which are qualifying the area and canopy density criteria, decision was taken to conduct field verification for assessing the species composition criteria. Accordingly, a proposal seeking Govt approval for inviting representations/objections & contact details of the land owners through public notice and issue of addendum to the notification dated 18.09.2020 including three more villages for the purpose of ground verification and after approval of Government survey numbers was advertised on newspaper on 28/05/2022 (**Annexure-III**) and Addendum vide dated at 03/06/2022 (**Annexure-IV**).

 ACF (N)  ACF (S)  AC (N)  AC (S)  DIR (D&LE)  DIR (AGRI)  DCF (WP)  CCF

The Review committee verified all 54 Survey numbers with detailed analysis through Google Timeline changes corroborated by LISS III FCM 2012-13, Division offence case, Tree Felling, FCA & Court order etc, after detailed evaluation, deliberations and discussion on each report found that in 7 survey numbers the balance area does not qualify for private forest criteria **(Annexure-V)**. Further it is pertinent to mention that the part of these 7 seven numbers were already reviewed by DCF (WP) committee and the report was accepted by Hon'ble NGT.

15.4 Any other mater with the permission of Chair:

15.4.1 Cumulative Progress as on Date:

Cumulative progress achieved in survey and demarcation of Private Forest Areas as on 10.03.2023 is given below:

| Progress achieved of review of forests in private | Total number of Sy. No. finalized by review committee | Qualifying Sy. No. (area in Ha) | Non qualifying Sy. Nos | Sy. No, with Extent of Private Forests as per previous review committee under DCF (WP)/Govt Forest |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------|---|
| 1 st Interim Report | 858 | 10 (47.03 Ha) | 750 | 98 |
| 2 nd Interim Report | 378 | 05 (22.56Ha) | 351 | 22 |
| 3 rd Interim Report | 70 | 09 (76.94 Ha) | 61 | - |
| 4 th Interim Report | 153 | 07 (143.18 Ha) | 145 | 1 |
| 5 th Interim Report | 171 | 10 (39.23 Ha) | 151 | 10 |
| Cumulative progress up to 5 th Interim report | 1630 | 41 (328.94 Ha) | 1458 | 131 |
| Progress after 5th interim report up to 10.03.2023 | 16 | 8 (87.369 Ha) | 0 | 8 (10.05 Ha) |
| Total progress as on date | 1646 | 49 (416.308 Ha) | 1458 | 139 (10.05 Ha) |

 ACF (N)
  ACF (S)
  AC (N)
  AC (S)
  DIR (DSLRL)
  DIR (AGRI)
  DCF (WP)
  CCF

15.4.2 Status of Qualifying area

Approximate qualifying area identified after sample enumeration is 2563.40 Ha, plans completed for qualifying area by both the divisions as on date for 1097 Ha and is being reviewed by the review committee.

Hearing for 907.00 Ha has been conducted till date and committee has finalized an area of 426 Ha as private forest which are satisfying all the criteria of private forest.

While 100% enumeration and reverification has been ordered for the remaining 481 Ha as per the demand of objectors during public hearing, balance 190 ha is under process of hearing.

Meeting ended with the thanks to the chair.

(Naveen Kumar P., IFS)
Dy. Conservator of Forests,
Working Plan Division &
Member Secretary
Panaji-Goa.

No: MS/REV-PF/DCF/WP/2022-23/

Date: /03/2023

To,

1. The Chief Conservator of Forests, Goa Van Bhavan, Panaji, Goa.
2. The Director Settlement & Land Records Panaji, Goa.
3. The Director of Agriculture Panaji, Goa.
4. The Dy Conservator of Forests Head Quarter Goa Van Bhavan, Panaji, Goa.
5. The Additional Collector North, Revenue Department, Margao, South.
6. The Additional Collector South, Revenue Department, Panaji, North.
7. The Asst. conservator of Forests Headquarter (North), Ponda, Goa.
8. The Asst. conservator of Forests Headquarter (South), Margao, Goa.
9. Office copy.

ACF (N)
 ACP(S)
 AC (N)
 AC (S)
 DIR (DSL)
 DIR (AGRI)
 DCF (WP)
 CCF

ANNEXURE I

Detailed findings of the Review Committee after field verification for the areas fulfilling 40% canopy density & 5 Ha. area as per Forest Cover Map of Forest Survey of India

| Sr. No | Taluka | Village | Survey Number identified by the North and South Goa SLEC | Survey number fulfilling 40% canopy density & 5 Ha area as per forest cover map 2014-15 LISS IV of Forest Survey of India | Map Sheet Number | Area of patch as per LISS IV Satellite image ha (Approx) within survey Number | Canopy Density >40% | Patch more than 5 Ha | Whether Contiguous to Govt. Forests/ Earlier identified forest | Extent of area Qualifying as private forest (Ha) | Decision of Review Committee after analysis based on LISS IV FCM 2014-15 (FSI), Google Timeline change corroborated by LISS III FCM 2012-13, Division offence case, Tree felling, FCA & Court order etc., if any) |
|--------------|---------|-------------|--|---|------------------|---|---------------------|----------------------|--|--|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 1 | Sanguem | Santona | 60(p) | Yes | 182 | 5.66 | Yes | Yes | - | 4.84 | Part area is identified as private forest |
| 2 | Sanguem | Neturlim | 74(p) | Yes | 147 | 13.35 | Yes | Yes | - | 4.94 | Part area is identified as private forest |
| 3 | Sanguem | Tudou | 2(p) | Yes | 165 | 56.73 | Yes | Yes | - | 36.35 | Part area is identified as private forest |
| 4 | Sanguem | Caranguinim | 5(p) | Yes | 177 | 10.17 | Yes | Yes | - | 5.75 | Part area is identified as private forest |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | 51.88 | |

 ACF (N)
 ACF (S)
 AC (N)
 AC (\$) DIR (DSL R)
 DIR (AGRI)
 DCE (WPP)
 CCF
13/11

ANNEXURE I

Detailed findings of the Review Committee after field verification for the areas fulfilling 40% canopy density & 5 Ha. area as per Forest Cover Map of Forest Survey of India

| Sr. No. | Taluka | Village | Survey Number identified by the North and South Goa SLEEC | Survey number fulfilling 40% canopy density & 5 Ha area as per forest cover map 2014-15 LISS IV of Forest Survey of India | Map Sheet Number | Area of patch as per LISS IV Satellite image ha (Approx) within survey Number | Canopy Density >40% | Patch more than 5 Ha | Whether Contiguous to Govt. Forests/ Earlier identified forest | Extent of area Qualifying as private forest (Ha) | Decision of Review Committee after analysis based on LISS IV FCM 2014-15 (FSI), Google Timeline change corroborated by LISS III FCM 2012-13, Division office case, Tree felling, FCA & Court order etc., if any) |
|--------------|-------------|---------|---|---|------------------|---|---------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 1 | Quepem | Quedem | 9(p) | yes | 94 | 29.49 | Yes | Yes | - | 24.309 | Partly identified as private forest |
| 2 | Sanguem | Salauli | 38(p) | yes | 168 | 3.79 | Yes | Yes | - | 1.14 | Partly identified as private forest |
| 3 | Dharbandora | Sigao | 21 | yes | 32 | 53.83 | Yes | Yes | - | 7.2 | Partly identified as private forest |
| 4 | Dharbandora | Sangod | 10 | yes | 67 | 2.99 | Yes | Yes | - | 2.84 | Partly identified as private forest |
| Total | | | | | | 90.1 | | | Total | 35.489 | |

ACF (N)

ACF(S)

AC (N)

ACS

DIR (DSLRI)

DIR (AGRI)

DCE (WFP)

Director

ANNEXURE II

Evaluation of Survey Number identified by V.T. Thomas and F.X. Araujo Committee but the same were partly or fully already demarcated by Forest Department Demarcation team and incorporated by earlier Review Committee in its report (Status as per Committee headed by DCF (Working Plan) Deepshika Sharma Committee included report of which has been accepted by Hon'ble NGT in O.A. No. 479/18.

| Sr.No. | Taluka | Village | Survey number identified by the North Goa & South Goa Forest Division Committee- V.T.Thomas & F.X. Araujo | Survey number demarcated by earlier demarcation team of Forest Department during 2003-2009 | Remark of the Committee | Decision of Review Committee |
|--------|---------|---------|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | Sanguem | Bhati | 36(P) | 36(P) | The patch already demarcated has been recommended by Deepshika Sharma review committee (DCF(WP)) as Private Forest | Survey Number 36 (P) of Bhati Village of Sanguem Taluka is Partly demarcated by earlier demarcation team of Forest Department, Balance 10.05 Ha area is identified as Private Forest by Review Committee. |
| 2 | | | 36A(P) | | - | Survey Number 36A (P) of Bhati Village of Sanguem Taluka does not exist as per Revenue record. |

 ACP (N)

 ACF(S)

 AC (N)

 AC(S)

 DIR (DSL)

 DIR (AGRI)

 DCF (WP)

 CCF



Government of Goa,
Forest Department

Secretariat, Porvorim - 403 52

Tel Nos: (0832) 2419443/2419792/2419697

e-mail: ustforest-sect.goa@nic.in

No.MS-REV.PF/DCF/WP/2020-21/ 47

Dated: 03/06/2022

Office of the
Dy. Conservator of Forests
Working Plan Division
Altinho, Panaji - Goa
Inward No. 449
Page No. 183
Dated 03/06/2022

- Ref:- 1) Notification No.DCF (WP)/Pvt. For/16(THC)/2013(WZ)/19-20/26 dated 21/01/2020.
2) Notification No.DCF(WP)/Pvt. For/16(THC)/2013(WZ)/19-20/119 dated 18/06/2020.
3) Notification No.MS-REV.PF/DCF/WP/2018-19/393/177 dated 18/09/2020.
4) Addendum No.MS-REV.PF/DCF/WP/2018-19/393/205 dated 26/10/2020.

ADDENDUM

In continuation to the Notification No.MS-REV.PF/DCF/WP/2018-19/393/177 dated 18/09/2020, Government hereby add names of three villages viz., Choraó and Azzocim Villages of Tiswadi Taluka and Casarvornem village of Pernem Taluka to the sub-committee number 1 and 5 respectively, constituted earlier for North Goa, to carry out site inspection of the properties fulfilling/ meeting Private Forests criteria of canopy density and area, as per Forest Survey of India (FSI) map in respect of the provisionally identified private forest areas by V.T. Thomas Committee and F.X. Araujo Committee.

Further, sub-committee Number 1 for Choraó and Azzocim Villages of Tiswadi Taluka and sub-committee Number 5 for Casarvornem Village of Pernem Taluka shall work under direct supervision of concerned Territorial Dy. Conservator of Forests and concerned Territorial Range Forest Officer shall act as Member Secretary of below mention Villages & Taluka as per the same terms of Reference notified earlier vide notification dated 18.09.2020.

North Goa

| Sr.No. | Taluka | Village | Members of sub-committee | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|-------------|--------------------------|------------|---------------------|
| 1(Below Gaulimaula Village) | Tiswadi | Choraó | ISLR Tiswadi | RFO Panaji | Talathi Choraó |
| | Tiswadi | Azzocim | ISLR Tiswadi | RFO Panaji | Talathi Azzocim |
| 5 (Below Dhargal Village) | Pernem | Casarvornem | ISLR Pernem | RFO Pernem | Talathi Casarvornem |

This issues with the approval of the Government.

By Order and in the name of
the Governor of Goa

Nathine S. Araujo
(Nathine S. Araujo)
Under Secretary (Forests)

C.F.S
10/6/22

8/c
To,

The Director, Printing & Stationary, Government printing press, Panaji-Goa
with a request to publish the same in the official Gazette in the next issue.

Copy to:

- 1) The Pr. Secretary (Forest), Secretariat, Porvorim-Goa.
- 2) The Secretary (Revenue), Secretariat, Porvorim-Goa.
- 3) The Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, Forest Department, Altinho, Panaji Goa.
- 4) The Chief Conservator of Forests, Forest Department, Altinho, Panaji Goa.
- 5) The Dy. Conservator of Forest, North Goa Division, Ponda-Goa.
- 6) The Dy. Conservator of Forest, South Goa Division, Margao-Goa.
- 7) The Dy. Conservator of Forest (Working Plan Division), Altinho, Panaji-Goa.
- 8) The Director, Information & Publicity, Panaji-Goa.
- 9) The Director of Agriculture, Panaji-Goa.
- 10) The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.
- 11) The Additional Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa.
- 12) The Additional Collector, South Goa District, Panaji-Goa.
- 13) The Assistant Conservator of Forests, Headquarters, North Goa Division, Ponda-Goa.
- 14) The Assistant Conservator of Forests, Headquarters, South Goa Division, Margao-Goa.
- 15) All concerned
- 16) Guard file.
- 17) O/c.

ANNEXURE V

Evaluation of Survey Nos identified by V.T.Thomas and F.X. Araujo Committee and later on excluded from list of identified private forest but same were partly or fully reviewed by earlier Review Committee (Status as per committee headed by DCF (Working Plan) Deepshikha Sharma Committee included report of which has been accepted by Hon'ble NGT in O.A. No.479/18

| Sr. No. | Taluka village | Sy.No. Identified by Sawant Committee | Sy.No. Identified by Karapurkar Committee | Sy.No. Identified by V.T.Thomas Committee | Sy.No. Identified by F.X.Araujo Committee | Sy.No. reviewed and finalised by Dy.Conservator of Forests (WP) review Committee headed by Deepshikha Sharma | Status as on date after Review by Deputy Coservator of Forests (WP) Review Committee headed by Deepshikha sharma /Balance area to be reviewed | Decision of Review Committee after analysis based on LISS IV FCM 2014-15 (FSI),Google Timeline change corroborated by LISS III FCM 2012-13,Division offence case,Tree felling,FCA & Court order etc.,if any) |
|---------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| 1 | Tiswadi Azzocim | 8/1 | - | 8 | - | 8/1 | The Survey number 8/1 of Azzocim village of Tiswadi Taluka is already reviewed by Deputy Conservator of Forests (WP) review committee headed by Deepshikha Sharma and recommended a patch as Private Forest | The Balance area of Survey number 8 of Azzocim village of Tiswadi Taluka does not qualify the criteria of Private Forest |

ACF (N)

ACF(S)

AC (N)

AC(S)

DIR (DSL)

DIR (AGRI)

DCF (WP)

CCF

7/c

ANNEXURE V

Evaluation of Survey Nos identified by V.T.Thomas and F.X. Araujo Committee and later on excluded from list of identified private forest but same were partly or fully reviewed by earlier Review Committee (Status as per committee headed by DCF (Working Plan) Deepshikha Sharma Committee included report of which has been accepted by Hon'ble NGT in O.A. No.479/18

| Sr. No. | Taluka | village | Sy.No. Identified by Sawant Committee | Sy.No. Identified by Karapurkar Committee | Sy.No. Identified by V.T.Thomas Committee | Sy.No. Identified by F.X.Araujo Committee | Sy.No. reviewed and finalised by Dy.Conservator of Forests (WP) review Committee headed by Deepshikha Sharma | Status as on date after Review by Deputy Coservator of Forests (WP) Review Committee headed by Deepshikha sharma /Balance area to be reviewed | Decision of Review Committee after analysis based on LISS IV FCM 2014-15 (FSI),Google Timeline change corroborated by LISS III FCM 2012-13,Division offence case,Tree felling,FCA & Court order etc.,if any) |
|---------|--------|-------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| 2 | Pernem | Casarvornem | 7(p) | - | 7 | - | 7(p) | Survey Number 7(p) of Casarvornem village of Pernem Taluka is already reviewed by Deputy Conservator of Forests (WP) review committee headed by Deepshikha Sharma and recommended a patch as Private Forest | The Balance area of Survey Number 7 of Casarvornem village of Pernem Taluka does not qualify the criteria of Private Forest |

ACF (N)

ACF (S)

AC (N)

ACS (I)

DIR (BSER)

DIR (AGR)

DCF (WP)

DCF

5/0

ANNEXURE V

Evaluation of Survey Nos identified by V.T.Thomas and F.X. Araujo Committee and later on excluded from list of identified private forest but same were partly or fully reviewed by earlier Review Committee (Status as per committee headed by DCF (Working Plan) Deepshikha Sharma Committee included report of which has been accepted by Hon'ble NGT in O.A. No.479/18

| Sr. No. | Taluka | Sy.No. Identified by Sawant Committee | Sy.No. Identified by Karapurkar Committee | Sy.No. Identified by V.T.Thomas Committee | Sy.No. Identified by F.X.Araujo Committee | Sy.No. reviewed and finalised by Dy.Conservator of Forests (WP) review Committee headed by Deepshikha Sharma | Status as on date after Review by Deputy Coservator of Forests (WP) Review Committee headed by Deepshikha sharma /Balance area to be reviewed | Decision of Review Committee after analysis based on LISS IV FCM 2014-15 (FSI),Google Timeline change corroborated by LISS III FCM 2012-13,Division offence case,Tree felling,FCA & Court order etc.,if any) |
|---------|--------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| 3 | Pernem | 270(p) | - | 270 | - | 270(p) | Survey Number 270(p) of Casarvornem village of Pernem Taluka is already reviewed by Deputy Conservator of Forests (WP) review committee headed by Deepshikha Sharma and recommended a patch as Private Forest | The Balance area of Survey Number 270 of Casarvornem village of Pernem Taluka does not qualify the criteria of Private Forest |

ACF (N)

ACF (S)

AC (N)

ACF (S)

DIR (DSL)

DIR (AGRI)

DCF (WP)

CCF

9/c

ANNEXURE V

Evaluation of Survey Nos identified by V.T.Thomas and F.X. Araujo Committee and later on excluded from list of identified private forest but same were partly or fully reviewed by earlier Review Committee (Status as per committee headed by DCF (Working Plan) Deepshikha Sharma Committee included report of which has been accepted by Hon'ble NGT in O.A. No.479/18

| Sr. No. | Taluka | village | Sy.No. Identified by Sawant Committee | Sy.No. Identified by Karapurkar Committee | Sy.No. Identified by V.T.Thomas Committee | Sy.No Identified by F.X.Araujo Committee | Sy.No. reviewed and finalised by Dy.Conservator of Forests (WP) review Committee headed by Deepshikha Sharma | Status as on date after Review by Deputy Coservator of Forests (WP) Review Committee headed by Deepshikha sharma / Balance area to be reviewed | Decision of Review Committee after analysis based on LISS IV FCM 2014-15 (FSI),Google Timeline change corroborated by LISS III FCM 2012-13,Division offence case,Tree felling,FCA & Court order etc.,if any) |
|---------|--------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 4 | Bardez | Salvador do Mundo | 236/0 | - | 236(p) | - | 236/0 | Survey Number 236/0 of Salvador do Mundo village of Bardez taluka is already reviewed by Deputy Conservator of Forests (WP) review committee headed by Deepshikha Sharma and recommended a patch as Private Forest | The Balance area of Survey Number 236(p) of Salvador do Mundo village of Bardez taluka does not qualify the criteria of Private Forest |

ACF (N)

ACF(S)

AC (N)

AC(S)

DIR (DSLR)

DIR (AGRI)

DCF (WP)

DCF

ANNEXURE V

Evaluation of Survey Nos identified by V.T.Thomas and F.X. Araujo Committee and later on excluded from list of identified private forest but same were partly or fully reviewed by earlier Review Committee (Status as per committee headed by DCF (Working Plan) Deepshikha Sharma Committee included report of which has been accepted by Hon'ble NGT in O.A. No.479/18

| Sr. No. | Taluka | village | Sy.No. Identified by Sawant Committee | Sy.No. Identified by Karapurkar Committee | Sy.No. Identified by V.T.Thomas Committee | Sy.No. Identified by F.X.Araujo Committee | Sy.No. reviewed and finalised by Dy.Conservator of Forests (WP) Review Committee headed by Deepshikha Sharma | Status as on date after Review by Deputy Coservator of Forests (WP) Review Committee headed by Deepshikha sharma /Balance area to be reviewed | Decision of Review Committee after analysis based on LISS IV FCM 2014-15 (FSI),Google Timeline change corroborated by LISS III FCM 2012-13,Division offence case,Tree felling,FCA & Court order etc.,if any) |
|---------|--------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 5 | Bardez | Salvador do Mundo | 266/0 | - | 266(p) | - | 266/0 | Survey Number 266/0 of Salvador do Mundo village of Bardez taluka is already reviewed by Deputy Conservator of Forests (WP) review committee headed by Deepshikha Sharma and recommended a patch as Private Forest | The Balance area of Survey Number 266(p) of Salvador do Mundo village of Bardez taluka does not qualify the criteria of Private Forest |

ACF (N)

ACF(S)

AC (N)

DIR (DSLRA)

DIR (AGRI)

DCF (WPF)

CCF

3/c

ANNEXURE V

Evaluation of Survey Nos identified by V.T.Thomas and F.X. Araujo Committee and later on excluded from list of identified private forest but same were partly or fully reviewed by earlier Review Committee (Status as per committee headed by DCF (Working Plan) Deepshikha Sharma Committee included report of which has been accepted by Hon'ble NGT in O.A. No.479/18

| Sr. No. | Taluka village | Sy.No. Identified by Sawant Committee | Sy.No. Identified by Karapurkar Committee | Sy.No. Identified by V.T.Thomas Committee | Sy.No. Identified by F.X.Araujo Committee | Sy.No. reviewed and finalised by Dy.Conservator of Forests (WP) review Committee headed by Deepshikha Sharma | Status as on date after Review by Deputy Coservator of Forests (WP) Review Committee headed by Deepshikha sharma /Balance area to be reviewed | Decision of Review Committee after analysis based on LISS IV FCM 2014-15 (FSI), Google Timeline change corroborated by LISS III FCM 2012-13, Division offence case, Tree felling, FCA & Court order etc., if any) |
|---------|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| 6 | Tiswadi Maula | 31/1 | - | 31 | - | 31/1 | The Survey number 31/1 of Gaulim Maula village of Tiswadi Taluka is already reviewed by Deputy Conservator of Forests (WP) review committee headed by Deepshikha Sharma and recommended a patch as Private Forest | The Balance area of Survey number 31 of Gaulim Maula village of Tiswadi Taluka does not qualify the criteria of Private Forest |

Note:- Appeals have been preferred against the order of Hon'ble NGT dated 18-08-2020 before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the matters of Salgaonkar Marine Pvt. Ltd., V/s State of Goa and Ors. In Civil Appeal Dairy No. 29658 of 2021. Dairy No. 29647 of 2021 (pertaining to Sy. No. 31/1 of Gaulim-Moula Village of Tiswadi Taluka) wherein Hon'ble Supreme Court vide its order dated 11-02-2022 has directed to maintain the status quo, hence, notification of the private forests in respect of the area comprising the said survey numbers which are subject matters of the said Civil Appeals shall be subject to outcome of the said Civil Appeals.


ACF (N)


ACF(S)


AC (N)


AC(S)


DIR (DSLRI)


DIR (AGRI)


DCF (WP)


CCF

ANNEXURE V

Evaluation of Survey Nos identified by V.T.Thomas and F.X. Araujo Committee and later on excluded from list of identified private forest but same were partly or fully reviewed by earlier Review Committee (Status as per committee headed by DCF (Working Plan) Deepshikha Sharma Committee included report of which has been accepted by Hon'ble NGT in O.A. No.479/18

| Sr. No. | Taluka | Village | Sy.No. Identified by Sawant Committee | Sy.No. Identified by Karapurkar Committee | Sy.No. Identified by V.T.Thomas Committee | Sy.No. Identified by F.X.Araujo Committee | Sy.No. reviewed and finalised by Dy.Conservator of Forests (WP) review Committee headed by Deepshikha Sharma | Status as on date after Review by Deputy Coservator of Forests (WP) Review Committee headed by Deepshikha sharma /Balance area to be reviewed | Decision of Review Committee after analysis based on LISS IV FCM 2014-15 (FSI),Google Timeline change corroborated by LISS III FCM 2012-13,Division offence case,Tree felling,FCA & Court order etc.,if any) |
|---------|---------|--------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| 7 | Tiswadi | Gaulim Maula | 39/2 | - | 39 | - | 39/2 | The Survey number 39/2 of Gaulim Maula village of Tiswadi Taluka is already reviewed by Deputy Conservator of Forests (WP) review committee headed by Deepshikha Sharma and recommended a patch as Private Forest | The Balance area of Survey number 39 of Gaulim Maula village of Tiswadi Taluka does not qualify the criteria of Private Forest |

Note:- Appeals have been preferred against the order of Hon'ble NGT dated 18-08-2020 before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the matters of Salgaonkar Marine Pvt. Ltd., V/s State of Goa and Ors. In Civil Appeal Dairy No. 29658 of 2021, Dairy No. 29654 of 2021 (pertaining to **Sy. No. 39/2** of Gaulim-Moula Village of Tiswadi Taluka) wherein Hon'ble Supreme Court vide its order dated 11-02-2022 has directed to maintain the status quo, hence, notification of the private forests in respect of the area comprising the said survey numbers which are subject matters of the said Civil Appeals shall be subject to outcome of the said Civil Appeals.


ACF (N)


ACF(S)


AC (N)


AC(S)


DIR (DSLRT)


DIR (AGRI)


DCF (WP)


CCF

1/c